

The effect of the cosmological constant on gravitational wave quadrupole signal

L. Á. Somlai*

Abstract

In this study the effects of a non-zero cosmological constant Λ on a quadrupole signal are studied. The linearized approximation of general relativity was used, so the metric can be written as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda} + h_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$ where $h_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$ can be interpreted as gravitational waves and $h_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}$ is the background perturbation. The Λh^{GW} term was also included in this study. To derive physically relevant consequences of $\Lambda \neq 0$, the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker comoving coordinates are used. In these coordinates, the equations of motion are not self-consistent so the result of the linearized theory have to be transformed to the FRW metric. The luminosity distance and the same order of the magnitude of frequency of the GW150914 was used for the results.

1 Introduction

The year 2016 has been very intense in the physic, the LIGO-Virgo collaboration has already detected two gravitational waves (GWs) [6, 9]. The detected waveforms fit to the current Λ CDM cosmological model and to Einstein’s prediction of GWs [8]. This means that the physical quantities of detected GWs can be used in the study of perturbative effects, just like the cosmological constant Λ and it opens an emerging research field of GW astronomy as well.

To study these small effects, especially the effect of Λ we have two major methods. The first one is the gauge-invariant wave extraction method with the perturbative treatment of the metric tensor. In this approximation the $g_{\mu\nu}$ metric tensor can be written as the sum of the flat metric and a perturbatively consistent $h_{\mu\nu}$ term which contains the cosmological constant. The other is the investigation of the component of the Weyl tensor, the so-called tetrad formalism. Both methods provided relevant improvements in this field [2, 10].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the key ideas of the linearization method are discussed, including the gauge fixing process. In section 3 the effects of the non-zero Λ on the quadrupole signal are derived and its influence by different distances are analyzed.

2 Linearization and gauge fixing

In this section a brief summary of the key ideas will be considered. A more detailed discussion can be found in [1, 2] and the references therein.

2.1 Linearization

Einstein’s equation has the following form in the presence of the Λ cosmological constant

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

*Wigner Research Center for Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, GPU Laboratory

where $\Lambda > 0$, $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor for $g_{\mu\nu}$, R is the Ricci scalar, $\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$ is the source term and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor. In this work, we will consider $\kappa T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ until the 2.4 subsection. In the following, we consider the linearized theory where the metric can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda} + h_{\mu\nu}^{GW} \quad (2)$$

$\eta_{\mu\nu}$ being the Minkowski metric, $h_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}, h_{\mu\nu}^{GW} \ll 1$, $h_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$ can be interpreted as gravitational waves and $h_{\mu\nu}^{\Lambda}$ is the background perturbation. This theory is gauge invariant under the coordinate transformation which will be explained in the next section. In the next two subsections two, different gauge choices will be discussed.

2.2 Lorenz gauge

The usually option is the Lorentz gauge where the gauge fixing conditions have the following form [4]

$$\partial_{\mu} h^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} h \rightarrow \partial_{\mu} \tilde{h}^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where $h = h^{\sigma}_{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} h$ is the trace reverse of $h_{\mu\nu}$. In this gauge the equations of motion (EoMs) are written as

$$\square \left(h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} h \right) + 2\Lambda h_{\mu\nu} = -2\Lambda \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

The EoMs have a residual gauge symmetry if, and only if the $\Lambda h_{\mu\nu}$ in the l. h. s. is omitted. The linear coordinate transformations are

$$x^{\mu} \rightarrow x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu} \text{ if } \square \xi^{\mu} = 0 \quad (5)$$

which allows to reach the transverse traceless gauge [5].

2.3 Λ -gauge

In order to study the effect of Λ on the linearized theory a different gauge choice is considered [3]. The so-called Λ -gauge is used, which is defined by the gauge conditions

$$\partial_{\mu} \tilde{h}^{\mu}_{\nu} = -\Lambda \eta_{\mu\nu} x^{\mu} \quad (6)$$

and the EoMs have the following form

$$\square \left(h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} h \right) + 2\Lambda h_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The $\square \xi^{\mu} = -\Lambda \xi^{\mu}$ residual coordinate transformations are not a symmetry of EoMs, they only have the global Lorenz transformation symmetry. Therefore, these transformations cannot be used to remove degrees of freedom. If the $\Lambda h_{\mu\nu}$ terms are omitted, the following coordinate transformations connect the two different gauge choices

$$x^{\mu} \rightarrow x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu} = \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{12} x^2 \right) x^{\mu}. \quad (8)$$

This means that we have to select such a coordinate system in the Lorenz gauge which suitable to fulfills the EoMs after the (8) transformation, that is in Λ -gauge. Other coordinate systems, which are connected with the chosen system by (5) residual symmetry, will produce off-shell results in the Λ -gauge. If the Λh terms are retained, there is no residual symmetry and the degrees of freedom cannot be reduced, so massive excitation will appear, but in this paper the effects of it will not be studied. For a more detailed discussion see [1].

2.4 Perturbation

Recall the perturbed part of the metric can be written as $h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda + h_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$. To study the Λ -order solution, first, we can solve the equations (6) and (7) for $\tilde{h}^\Lambda$

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda = -\frac{\Lambda}{18} (4x_\mu x_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} x^2) \quad (9)$$

the solution is unique if we require that the h^Λ is proportional to Λ and involves only the coordinates x^μ . Secondly, we can expand $\tilde{h}^{GW}$ as

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{GW} = \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} + \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)GW} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2) \quad (10)$$

where a superscript refers to the order in Λ . The EoMs can be written in terms of $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$ and $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda$ separately; for $\tilde{h}^\Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \tilde{h}_{\nu}^{\Lambda\mu} &= -\Lambda \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu \\ \square \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^\Lambda &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and for $h_{\mu\nu}^{GW}$, if the source term is not omitted

$$\square h_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} + \square h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)GW} + 2\Lambda h_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2) = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

with its gauge constraint

$$\partial^\mu \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{GW} = 0 \rightarrow \partial^\mu \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} = 0, \quad \partial^\mu \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)GW} = 0. \quad (13)$$

We can rewrite (12) order by order

$$\begin{aligned} \square h_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} &= -\kappa T_{\mu\nu} \\ \square h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)GW} + 2\Lambda h_{\mu\nu}^{(0)GW} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The first equation and its gauge condition are the same in Lorenz gauge. The residual symmetry provides a connection between the waves propagating in the Minkowski space and $h^{(0)GW}$: both satisfy the same equations so we can use the earlier results of gravitational waveforms calculated in the usual TT gauge [4]. The second equation can be used to study the effect of Λ on the gravitational wave.

2.5 Choice of coordinate system

To construct a coordinates with proper physical meaning, first, we have to specify coordinates, where $\square \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ are fulfilled in the Λ -gauge. We can use the 9 to reach the invariant line element

$$ds^2 = \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda}{9} (3t^2 - 2r^2) \right] dt^2 - \left[1 - \frac{\Lambda}{9} (-2t^2 + 2r^2 + x_i^2) \right] dx_i^2 - \frac{2\Lambda}{9} t x_i dt dx_i + \frac{2\Lambda}{9} x_i x_j dx_i dx_j \quad (15)$$

then we can transform this expression to the static solution of [2]

$$ds^2 = \left[1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r'^2 \right] dt'^2 - \left[1 - \frac{\Lambda}{6} (r'^2 + 3x_i'^2) \right] dx_i'^2 \quad (16)$$

by using the most general form of (8), with the following coordinate transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= x' + \frac{\Lambda}{9} \left(-t'^2 - \frac{x'^2}{2} + \frac{(y'^2 + z'^2)}{4} \right) x' \\
y &= y' + \frac{\Lambda}{9} \left(-t'^2 - \frac{y'^2}{2} + \frac{(x'^2 + z'^2)}{4} \right) y' \\
z &= z' + \frac{\Lambda}{9} \left(-t'^2 - \frac{z'^2}{2} + \frac{(x'^2 + y'^2)}{4} \right) z' \\
t &= t' - \frac{\Lambda}{18} (t'^2 + r'^2) t'.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We can further transform it to obtain a fully spherically symmetric solution using the following substitution

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= x'' + \frac{\Lambda}{12} x''^3 \\
y' &= y'' + \frac{\Lambda}{12} y''^3 \\
z' &= z'' + \frac{\Lambda}{12} z''^3 \\
t' &= t''
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and using (19) we can perform another transformation

$$r'' = \hat{r} + \frac{\Lambda}{12} \hat{r}^3 \quad t'' = \hat{t} \tag{19}$$

to obtain the Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) metric

$$ds^2 = \left[1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \hat{r}^2 \right] d\hat{t}^2 - \left[1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \hat{r}^2 \right]^{-1} \hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2 d\Omega^2. \tag{20}$$

The linearization of the (1) is consistent with this metric, but it is neither homogeneous nor isotropic. This is contradictory with the fundamental principles of cosmology, therefore the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) metric should be used instead of the SdS metric [1]. The following explicit transformation

$$\hat{r} = \exp \left\{ T \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \right\} R \quad \hat{t} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \log \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{3 - \Lambda \exp\{2T \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\} R^2}} \right\} + T \tag{21}$$

transforms (20) to the FRW metric

$$ds^2 = dT^2 - \exp \left\{ 2T \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \right\} d\vec{X}^2 \tag{22}$$

where the X^i are comoving coordinates.

3 Quadrupole signal

To study the effects of the Λ cosmological constant the quadrupole signal has been chosen which component can be written as [4]

$$h_+ = \frac{A(\omega)}{r} \cos \{ 2\omega (t - r) \}. \tag{23}$$

For simplicity, the $A(\omega) = \frac{G\mu\omega^2 R^2}{c^4} \left(\frac{1+\cos^2\iota}{2} \right)$ always will be set to be $A(\omega)/r = 1$ and $\iota = 0$.

3.1 Linearized solution

To reach the physically relevant result of the perturbation, we have to apply the coordinate transformations (17,18,19) and calculate the $h^{(1)GW}$ with the (14) equation to find¹

$$h_+^{(1)} = \frac{A(\omega)}{\hat{r}} \left[\frac{\Lambda}{8\omega^2} \cos \{2\omega (\hat{t} - \hat{r})\} - \frac{\Lambda \hat{r}}{2\omega} \sin \{2\omega (\hat{t} - \hat{r})\} \right]. \quad (24)$$

Finally, to receive the results in comoving coordinates the transformation has been applied (21). Introducing $\tau = T - R$ term, one can derive the following expression for h_+

$$\begin{aligned} h_+ &= \frac{A(\omega)}{r} (1 + z_{amp}) \cos \{2\omega\tau (1 + z_{pha}) + \phi(R, \Lambda)\} + \\ &\quad \frac{A(\omega)}{r} (1 + z_{amp}) \frac{\Lambda}{8\omega^2} \cos \{2\omega\tau (1 + z_{pha}) + \phi(R, \Lambda)\} \\ &\quad - A(\omega) \frac{\Lambda}{2\omega} \sin \{2\omega\tau (1 + z_{pha}) + \phi(R, \Lambda)\} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{3/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$z_{amp} = -\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} (R - \tau) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \left(R^2 + \frac{5R\tau}{3} + \frac{5\tau^2}{6} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{3/2}) \quad z_{pha} = -\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} R - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \left(\frac{2R\tau}{3} - \frac{\tau^2}{6} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{3/2}) \quad (26)$$

and $\phi(R, \Lambda)$ is an overall phase factor.

3.2 Results

In the previous subsection, the most relevant effects of Λ have been shown. In order to demonstrate them, I have plotted the function (25) as

$$h_+ = \frac{A(\omega)}{r} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} R \right) \cos \left\{ 2\omega\tau \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} R - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \frac{2R\tau}{3} \right) \right\}. \quad (27)$$

In the Fig. 1-3. I have chosen $\omega = 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to investigate the following effects in a few cycles. Dependency of the amplitude and wavelength on τ for constant value of R can be seen in the Fig. 1-3. These distances release for event GW150914 where the luminosity distance was $R = 410 (+160 - 180) \text{ Mpc}$ [6]. The extra cosine and sine function of the r. h. s. of (25) do not have relevant effects. If we choose $R = 410 \text{ Mpc}$ and $\Lambda = 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$, then the cosine and the sine functions will be relevant ($\sim 1\%$ of the amplitude of the original magnitude) at $\omega \approx 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$. These effects could be substantial in the theoretical approach where the exact form of Λ is left in the wave-form. The z_{pha} of (26) has been also plotted in the Fig. 4. The effects of Λ on the z_{amp} do not depend on the different values of τ . Rather, R and Λ will determine the results of z_{amp} . Consequently, if $R = 570, 420, 230 \text{ Mpc}$, these values will be $-0.095, -0.071$ and -0.042 .

4 Summary

This approach [1], can be used to derive observably relevant effects of the Λ . The perturbative approximation of the cosmological constant could be relevant on the quadrupole signal or on detected

¹In the coordinate transformation the argument of sine and cosine exactly was transformed exactly. Because of this, an error retains. At the lowest order of Λ this error term is $\leq 10^{-3}$, as it can be found in [1]. The studied effect is approximately one order of magnitude higher than this error.




Figure 1: I used $R_1 = 410 \text{ Mpc}$. The solid line corresponds to $\Lambda = 0$ and the dotted line to $\Lambda = 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$.




Figure 2: $R_2 = 570 \text{ Mpc}$. The solid line corresponds to $\Lambda = 0$ and the dotted line to $\Lambda = 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$.




Figure 3: $R_3 = 230 \text{ Mpc}$. The solid line corresponds to $\Lambda = 0$ and the dotted line to $\Lambda = 10^{-52} \text{ m}^{-2}$.




Figure 4: Dependence of the z_{pha} on τ in the same interval. The solid line corresponds to $R = 230 \text{ Mpc}$, the dashed line to $R = 420 \text{ Mpc}$ and the dotted line to $R = 570 \text{ Mpc}$.

gravitational waves, so the value of Λ is always explicitly kept except in the figures. Due to the coordinate transformation and the perturbation $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$ terms will be appear. The transformation to FRW coordinates results in the appearance of additional $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Lambda})$ terms. These modifications could be relevant to specify the property of the detected sources. In the near future, other types of wave-forms, especially the chirp signal [4] could be studied this way. In this paper different kind of wave signal was studied than in the [1, 2] where they focused on has been also plotted the $E_{\mu\nu} \cos(kx) + D_{\mu\nu} \sin(kx)$ wave-like solution in order to present the perturbational method presented in this paper. The presence of the cosmological constant modifies both the phase and the amplitude of the quadrupole signal; it is red-shifted and the amplitude of waves decrease as τ grows.

Acknowledgements

L. Á. S. has been supported by the GPU Laboratory of HAS Wigner Institute.

References

- [1] J. Bernabeu, D. Espriu and Puigdomènech, Phys. Rev. D 84, 063523 (2011), arXiv: hep-th/1106.4511v1 and references therein.
- [2] J. Bernabeu, C. Espinoza and N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. D 81:084002 (2010).
- [3] Lu Hui-qing, Chin. Astron. Astrophys. 12, 186-190, (1988).
- [4] See e. g.: M. Maggiore, "Gravitational Waves: Vol. 1: Theory and Experiments".
- [5] See e. g.: R. H Price and Y. Yang, Am. J. Phys., Vol. 76, No. 10 (2008).
- [6] B.P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016).
- [7] D. Espriu and D. Puigdomènech, arXiv: gr-qc 1209.3724v1.
- [8] See e. g.: A. G. Riess et al. [Supernova Search Team Collaboration], Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998); arXiv: astro-ph 9805201.
- [9] B.P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 241103 (2016).
- [10] See e. g.: G. Pratten, Class. Quantum Grav. 32 (2015) 165018, Class. Quantum Grav. 32 (2015) 165018; arXiv: gr-qc 1503.03435v2.